

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 EC BRU 12137 101910Z

14

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 FEA-01 ACDA-07 AGRE-00 AID-05 CEA-01
CEQ-01 CG-00 CIAE-00 CIEP-01 COME-00 DLOS-06 DODE-00
DOTE-00 EB-07 EPA-01 ERDA-05 FMC-01 TRSE-00 H-01
INR-07 INT-05 IO-13 JUSE-00 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05
NSF-01 OES-06 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15
USIA-06 SAL-01 SAJ-01 FRB-03 STR-04 LAB-04 SIL-01
/134 W

----- 082730

R 101723Z DEC 76

FM USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2546

INFO ALL EC CAPITALS 2847

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK

USMISSION USNATO

SHAPE POLAD

C O N F I D E N T I A L EC BRUSSELS 12137

NATO CONFIDENTIAL FOR NATO ADDEE

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: EC, PLOS, EFIS

SUBJECT: EC OPTIONS FOR HANDLING SOVIET - EAST EUROPE FISHING
ISSUE

REF: THE HAGUE 6050 (NOTAL)

1. DURING DECEMBER 13-14 EC COUNCIL, MINISTERS WILL TRY TO
COME TO GRIPS WITH INTERIM REGIME TO PUT INTO EFFECT JANUARY 1.
FOR HANDLING FISHING IN EXTENDED EC ZONE BY THIRD-COUNTRY
VESSELS, IN ABSENCE OF BILATERAL AGREEMENTS. OF THESE, QUESTION
OF WHAT TO DO ABOUT SOVIET AND OTHER EAST EUROPEANS IS MOST
SENSITIVE. FOLLOWING SUMMARIZES LIKELY SCENARIO AS SEEN BY
COMMISSION OFFICIALS (GALLAGHER AND HANNAY) ON EVE OF COUNCIL.

2. ASSUMING SOVIETS AND OTHER EE'S MAINTAIN REFUSAL TO NEGOTIATE
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 EC BRU 12137 101910Z

WITH EC COMMISSION ON BEHALF OF COMMUNITY, COMMISSION EXPECTS

COUNCIL TO APPROVE PROPOSAL THAT COUNTRIES WITH WHICH NO AGREEMENTS EXIST, AND WITH WHOM EC HAS NO SIGNIFICANT RECIPROCAL FISHING INTEREST (IN ADDITION TO USSR AND EE'S, THIS CATEGORY ALSO INCLUDES SPAIN, SWEDEN, AND PORTUGAL), WOULD BE ASSIGNED UNILATERALLY QUOTAS UNDER NEAFC/ICNAF AUSPICES AT LEVEL REPRESENTING AVERAGE OF LAST 10 YEARS ANNUAL CATCHES FROM THE ZONES OFF THE COASTAL MEMBER STATE CONCERNED. (FOR PRACTICAL PURPOSES, THIS MEANS UK, IRELAND AND DENMARK). THUS THIS WOULD REDUCE SOVIET QUOTA TO ABOUT HALF OR ROUGHLY 700,000 TONS IT REPORTEDLY TOOK LAST YEAR.

3. THIS ARRANGEMENT WOULD BE VALID ONLY FOR 1977.
IF, AT END OF NEXT YEAR, SOVIETS AND OTHERS HAD STILL

REACHED NO AGREEMENT WITH EC, THEY WOULD BE FULLY EXCLUDED FROM THESE ZONES AS OF 1978.

THIS ARRANGEMENT WOULD GIVE SOVIETS AND OTHERS A YEAR TO THINK ABOUT HOW THEY WANTED TO PLAY THEIR HAND. CHOICE OF 10 YEAR PERIOD DESIGNED TO AVOID TOO OVERTLY DISCRIMINATORY SYSTEM (AGAINST RELATIVE NEW-COMER USSR AND IN FAVOR OF LONG-ESTABLISHED SPAIN, PORTUGAL ET AL), WHICH WOULD ARISE FROM SELECTING A LONGER PERIOD (E.G., 14 YEARS).

4. UNDER THIS INTERIM SYSTEM, EACH MEMBER STATE WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ENFORCING QUOTAS ASSIGNED AND COULD ENTER INDIVIDUALLY INTO ARRANGEMENTS WITH SOVIETS AND OTHERS REGARDING PERMITS, ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES ETC. HOWEVER, NEGOTIATION OF ANY AGREEMENT FOR FUTURE RIGHTS WOULD HAVE TO BE WITH COMMISSION REPRESENTING EC AS WHOLE.

5. COMMISSION OFFICIALS EXPECT SOVIETS TO ACCEPT THIS ARRANGEMENT ASSUMING THEY WISH TO AVOID SERIOUS CONFRONTATION AT THIS STAGE. IT PERMITS THEM TO RETAIN AT LEAST A REDUCED FISHERY (RATHER THEN NONE AT ALL) AND TO DEAL DIRECTLY WITH MEMBER STATES RATHER THAN COMMISSION, AT LEAST FOR ANOTHER YEAR, DURING WHICH TIME THEY MAY BRING THEMSELVES AROUND (E.G. , THROUGH EC-CEMA FRAMEWORK) TO POINT WHERE THEY CAN ACCEPT COMMUNITY COMPETENCE. HOWEVER, GALLAGHER REPORTED THAT SOVIETS HAVE RECENTLY APPROACHED BRITISH WITH AT LEAST INFORMAL SUGGESTION THAT USSR WOULD HAVE NO TROUBLE NEGOTIATING ACCEPTABLE
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 EC BRU 12137 101910Z

AGREEMENT WITH UK AND DOES NOT UNDERSTAND WHY BRITISH MUST INSIST ON DEALING THROUGH COMMISSION.

6. IN SUM, WAY IS OPEN FOR A TEMPORIZING SOLUTION, OR AT LEAST POSTPONEMENT, OF THIS POTENTIALLY EXPLOSIVE ISSUE FOR IMMEDIATE FUTURE, IF SOVIETS WILLING TO ACCEPT IT. HOWEVER, IT ALSO DEPENDS ON COUNCIL ACCEPTANCE OF THE PROPOSALS BEFORE IT ON DECEMBER 13, AT LEAST AS REGARDS "EXTERNAL" ASPECTS OF EC EXTENDED ZONE.

GALLAGHER AND HANNAY RATE THE CHANCES OF SUCH ACCEPTANCE
AS HIGH, EVEN THOUGH NEITHER EXPECTS AGREEMENT AT NEXT COUNCIL
SESSION ON OTHER (INTERNAL) ASPECTS OF THE PACKAGE. LATTER NOT
LIKELY UNTIL WELL INTO NEXT YEAR, AT EARLIEST.HINTON

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: FISHING RIGHTS, POLICIES, REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, NEGOTIATIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 10 DEC 1976
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ElyME
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976ECBRU12137
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D760457-0843
From: EC BRUSSELS
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19761255/aaaabvkk.tel
Line Count: 119
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 76 THE HAGUE 6050
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: ElyME
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 06 APR 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <06 APR 2004 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <09 AUG 2004 by ElyME>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: EC OPTIONS FOR HANDLING SOVIET - EAST EUROPE FISHING ISSUE
TAGS: PLOS, EFIS, UR, EEC
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006